

# **DECISION OF THE REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY, THRISSUR**

**HELD ON 17.06.2017**

**(Venue: Conference Hall 1, District Collectorate, Thrissur)**

**Present:**

**1. Shri.Dr. A. Kowsigan IAS**

District Collector Thrissur

(Chairman-RTA Thrissur)

**2.Shri.N Vijayakumar I P S**

District Police Chief Thrissur

(Member-RTA Thrissur)

**3.Shri.Shaji Joseph**

Deputy Transport Commissioner,

Central Zone 1

(Member-RTA Thrissur)

**Item No.1:-** Heard. Regular permit **granted** subject to settlement of timings and production of a suitable vehicle in compliance with the G.O(P)No.67/2011 dated: 02-08-2011 within one month from the date of communication of the decision, failing which the grant of permit will be treated as revoked.

**Item No.2:** Heard. Regular permit **granted** subject to settlement of timings and production of a suitable vehicle in compliance with the G.O(P)No.67/2011 dated: 02-08-2011 within one month from the date of communication of the decision, failing which the grant of permit will be treated as revoked.

**Item No.3:-** Heard. Regular permit **granted** subject to settlement of timings and production of a suitable vehicle in compliance with the G.O(P)No.67/2011 dated: 02-08-2011 within one month from the date of communication of the decision, failing which the grant of permit will be treated as revoked.

**Item No.4:-** Heard. Regular permit **granted** subject to settlement of timings and production of a suitable vehicle in compliance with the G.O(P)No.67/2011 dated: 02-08-2011 within one month from the date of communication of the decision, failing which the grant of permit will be treated as revoked.

**Item No.5:-** Heard. This is an application for grant of fresh permit to operate on the inter district route Mulloorkkara-Koottupatha (Via) Irunilamkode temple, Kumarappanal, Masthanpalli, Valand Varavur Panchayath, Palakkal, Pulakkad, Thali, Kadukassery, Arangottukara, Thirumittacode out of total route length 19.5km, a distance of 6Kms is covered in Palakkad district. Concurrence of RTA Palakkad is

necessary to consider this application. Secretary is directed to obtain concurrence from the sister RTA. The objectors raised objections regarding the unnecessary halt at various intermediate places enroute which adversely affect the travelling public and not public friendly. Secretary is directed to enquire and report regarding the above objections. **Adjourned.**

**Item No.6:-** Heard. Regular permit **granted** subject to settlement of timings and production of a suitable vehicle in compliance with the G.O(P)No.67/2011 dated: 02-08-2011 within one month from the date of communication of the decision, failing which the grant of permit will be treated as revoked.

**Item No.7:-** Heard. Renewal **granted** subject to

- 1) Production of NOC from HP Company if any,
- 2) Clearance of Government dues, if any

**Item No.8:-** A distance of 57 Kms lies in Palakkad district. Hence Secretary RTA is permitted to seek concurrence from sister RTA and placed before this RTA for renewal of permit.

**Item No.9:-**

- 1) Perused.
- 2) Perused.

Heard.This is an application for renewal of permit and replacement of the permit, which was under suspended animation from 10.09.2012. Clearance certificate was issued in compliance to the Order of Hon'ble STAT vide agenda 1<sup>st</sup> cited. Hon'ble STAT had also directed RTA to fix a time limit for production of a later model vehicle to activate the suspended animation permit. Accordingly the

matter was considered by RTA Thrissur, in its meeting held on 26.05.2014 vide supplementary item no.8 and decided as follows:

- “1. Perused the order in MVARP No.264/2012 of Hon’ble STAT Ernakulam.
2. It is found that the stage carriage covered by this permit had already been issued with a clearance certificate as on 10.09.2012. The permit being kept idle without replacement with a suitable vehicle for the last one year and nine months. The applicant has not turned out to offer suitable vehicle for this permit so far and even in this meeting. Even though one month more time from the date of communication of the decision is allowed for producing suitable vehicle for replacement on this permit, failing which permit will be treated as revoked.”

Decision was communicated on 16.07.2014.

The permit holder preferred a revision petition before Hon’ble STAT and Hon’ble STAT viewed that proper communication under Rule 123 has not been adhered before taking a decision by the RTA and also held that there is nothing on record to show that notice was communicated to him in the manner prescribed under Rule 123 and 124 of KMV Rules. In the result this revision petition is allowed in part. The impugned order was set aside and remanded back to the RTA directing to reconsider the decision and take further steps in accordance with Rules.

Notice under Rule 124 was issued to the permit holder on 04.05.2016. As directed above, the matter was reconsidered and the

appellant's counsel Sri Dinesh Menon I, who appeared was heard in detail.

The permit was under suspended animation from 10.09.2012 onwards. The permit was valid up to 22.04.2016 only. Even though he had submitted application for renewal in time, the vehicle offered in the application for renewal (PRA) was KL-16/C-3700, which had obtained clearance certificate from this permit. It is very clear that there was no ready vehicle at the time of submission of application for renewal of permit. A ready vehicle for replacement was submitted only on 20.05.2017.

Judgement of Hon'ble High Court in the case of Usman Vs RTA Malappuram and another -2015(4) KHC 779:2015(4) KLT (25): 2015(4)KLT 46, is applicable in this case. In view of the above judgement, application for renewal of permit must refer to the registration mark of the vehicle covered by the permit. An application of renewal of permit, without there being a vehicle with the application, is not contemplated by the Rule 172 of Kerala Motor Vehicles Rules.

- (i) In view of the above facts, the application for renewal of permit is **rejected**
- (ii) In the case of application for replacement, the vehicle offered only on 20.05.2017. The validity of pucca permit expired on 22.04.2016. Even though he was aware that his permit was revoked by this authority in its meeting held on 26.05.2014, which was communicated on 16.07.2014 and certified copy of above decision was issued to him on 18.11.2014, no fruitful steps was taken to replace the permit before the expiry of pucca permit to perform his

service, without any break. Hence the application for replacement is devoid of merits and stands **rejected**.

**Item No.10:-**

A. Heard. This is an application for transfer of ownership (death) in respect of stage carriage KL-8/S-6780 from the name of Sri. Prabhakaran (Late) to the name of successor Sri. Salil Kumar, who is the son of the permit holder. In this case, the death was occurred on 08.01.2014. Death intimation was submitted on 03.02.2014, in time. Application for death transfer along with prescribed fees submitted only on 20.05.2017. Even though the permit was valid upto 21.05.2017, the validity of the permit was expired on 22.08.2016, since the vehicle attained 15 years of age on 22.08.2016. So there is no ready vehicle to operate the permit as alive and the permit shall be treated as invalid. Moreover the necessary documents and the procedures for death transfer was completed only after the permit became invalid. Hence the application for transfer of permit (death) is submitted as belated and infructuous. Hence **rejected**.

B. And C- In view of the decision vide (a) the permit in question is invalid. Hence the application for renewal and replacement is devoid of merits and stands **rejected**.

**Item No.11:-** Heard. This is an application for renewal of regular permit in respect of Stage Carriage KL-11/W-8970 on the route Kodungallur-Kundur. The regular permit was primarily issued on 25.06.2011. As per Clause 4 and S(C) of G.O.(P) on 8/2017/Tran

dated 23.03.2017, existing and operating permits in private sector as on 14.07.2009 are allowed to operate. The further permits will also be granted to private stage carriages on other routes permitting them to overlap 5Kms or 5% of the length of their own routes whichever is less on the notified routes, for the purpose of inter section.

In this case, the permit was considered by RTA Thrissur, in its meeting held on 14.03.2008 and the application was rejected, since the total overlapping is 1.6Kms and violated clause 4 of G.O.(P) No. 42/2009 dated 14.07.2009. Subsequently, the applicant filed an appeal before Hon'ble STAT and against the decision of RTA and Hon'ble STAT in MVAA No. 376/2008 dated 14.08.2008, set aside the decision of RTA and remanded the matter to RTA. Accordingly the application was reconsidered in the meeting of RTA held on 07.08.2009 and was granted, subject to settlement of timings. Timings were settled and the regular permit was issued w.e.f 25.06.2011.

According to the G.O.(P) No.8/2017/Tran dated 23.03.2017 the permits granted after 14.07.2009 as against the clause 4 and 5(c), could not be allowed to operate. As per the report of field officer, the route length is 23.2 kms and overlaps the notified route for 0.5kms from Kodungallur bus stand to south nada and 2 kms from Cheraman pally to Anapuzha junction and exceeds the permissible limit. Hence this permit in question, falls under the purview of the said notification and is not renewable. The G.O.(P) No. 8/2017/Tran dated 23.03.2017 has not allowed any relaxation in the conditions prescribed as ordered by the Hon'ble STAT in its judgement dated 14.08.2008 in MVAA No. 376/2008. **Hence rejected.**

**Item No.12:-**

Heard the learned counsel. This is an application for variation of permit condition. This authority has considered the application, verified the report of enquiry officer and examined the connected files in detail. Government of Kerala has modified the scheme notified under G.O(P) No.42/2009/Tran dated 14.07.2009 and published as per G.O(P) 08/2017/Tran dated 23.03.2017. Clause (4) of the newly modified scheme reads-“the permits granted in the private sector as on 14.07.2009 will be permitted to operate as ordinary or limited stop ordinary services. The maximum distance prescribed in the rule 2(oa) in the Kerala Motor Vehicles Rules 1989 should apply to these served permits provided that further extension or variation shall not be allowed under any circumstances.” According to the above latest notification, especially as per clause (1) of the notification, the scheme is applicable to all routes in Kerala State.

In these circumstances, the application for variation is considered and this authority is of the opinion that the same could not be granted as per the directions contained in notification G.O.(P) No. 08/2017/Trans dated 23.03.2017. Hence the application for variation of permit is **rejected**.

**Item No.13:-**

A. Perused.

B. Heard the learned counsel. This is an application for variation of permit condition. This authority has considered the application, verified the report of enquiry officer and examined the connected files in detail. Government of Kerala has modified the scheme notified under G.O(P) No.42/2009/Tran dated 14.07.2009 and published as per G.O(P) 08/2017/Tran dated 23.03.2017.

Clause (4) of the newly modified scheme reads-“the permits granted in the private sector as on 14.07.2009 will be permitted to operate as ordinary or limited stop ordinary services. The maximum distance prescribed in the rule 2(oa) in the Kerala Motor Vehicles Rules 1989 should apply to these served permits provided that further extension or variation shall not be allowed under any circumstances.” According to the above latest notification, especially as per clause (1) of the notification, the scheme is applicable to all routes in Kerala State.

In these circumstances, the application for variation is considered and this authority is of the opinion that the same could not be granted as per the directions contained in notification G.O.(P) No. 08/2017/Trans dated 23.03.2017. Hence the application for variation of permit is **rejected**.

**Item No.14:-**

Heard the learned counsel. This is an application for variation of permit condition. This authority has considered the application, verified the report of enquiry officer and examined the connected files in detail. Government of Kerala has modified the scheme notified under G.O(P) No.42/2009/Tran dated 14.07.2009 and published as per G.O(P) 08/2017/Tran dated 23.03.2017. Clause (4) of the newly modified scheme reads-“the permits granted in the private sector as on 14.07.2009 will be permitted to operate as ordinary or limited stop ordinary services. The maximum distance prescribed in the rule 2(oa) in the Kerala Motor Vehicles Rules 1989 should apply to these served permits provided that further extension or variation shall not be allowed under any circumstances.” According to the above latest

notification, especially as per clause (1)of the notification, the scheme is applicable to all routes in Kerala State.

In these circumstances, the application for variation is considered and this authority is of the opinion that the same could not be granted as per the directions contained in notification G.O.(P) No. 08/2017/Trans dated 23.03.2017. Hence the application for variation of permit is **rejected**.

Secretary RTA shall consider the application for renewal as per the stipulations prescribed in G.O(P) no 08/2017/tran dated 23/03/2017.

**Item No. 15:-**

A. Perused.

B. Heard the learned counsel. This is an application for variation of permit condition. This authority has considered the application, verified the report of enquiry officer and examined the connected files in detail. Government of Kerala has modified the scheme notified under G.O(P) No.42/2009/Tran dated 14.07.2009 and published as per G.O(P) 08/2017/Tran dated 23.03.2017. Clause (4) of the newly modified scheme reads-“the permits granted in the private sector as on14.07.2009 will be permitted to operate as ordinary or limited stop ordinary services. The maximum distance prescribed in the rule 2(oa) in the Kerala Motor Vehicles Rules 1989 should apply to these served permits provided that further extension or variation shall not be allowed under any circumstances.” According to the above latest notification, especially as per clause (1)of the notification, the scheme is applicable to all routes in Kerala State.

In these circumstances, the application for variation is considered and this authority is of the opinion that the same could not be granted as per the directions contained in notification G.O.(P) No. 08/2017/Trans dated 23.03.2017. Hence the application for variation of permit is **rejected**.

**Item No.16:-**

Heard the learned counsel. This is an application for variation of permit condition. This authority has considered the application, verified the report of enquiry officer and examined the connected files in detail. Government of Kerala has modified the scheme notified under G.O(P) No.42/2009/Tran dated 14.07.2009 and published as per G.O(P) 08/2017/Tran dated 23.03.2017. Clause (4) of the newly modified scheme reads-“the permits granted in the private sector on 14.07.2009 will be permitted to operate as ordinary or limited stop ordinary services. The maximum distance prescribed in the rule 2(oa) in the Kerala Motor Vehicles Rules 1989 should apply to these served permits provided that further extension or variation shall not be allowed under any circumstances.” According to the above latest notification, especially as per clause (1) of the notification, the scheme is applicable to all routes in Kerala State.

In these circumstances, the application for variation is considered and this authority is of the opinion that the same could not be granted as per the directions contained in notification G.O.(P) No. 08/2017/Trans dated 23.03.2017. Hence the application for variation of permit is **rejected**.

**Item No.17:-**

Heard the learned counsel. This is an application for variation of permit condition. This authority has considered the application, verified the report of enquiry officer and examined the connected files in detail. Government of Kerala has modified the scheme notified under G.O(P) No.42/2009/Tran dated 14.07.2009 and published as per G.O(P) 08/2017/Tran dated 23.03.2017. Clause (4) of the newly modified scheme reads-“the permits granted in the private sector as on 14.07.2009 will be permitted to operate as ordinary or limited stop ordinary services. The maximum distance prescribed in the rule 2(oa) in the Kerala Motor Vehicles Rules 1989 should apply to these served permits provided that further extension or variation shall not be allowed under any circumstances.” According to the above latest notification, especially as per clause (1) of the notification, the scheme is applicable to all routes in Kerala State.

In these circumstances, the application for variation is considered and this authority is of the opinion that the same could not be granted as per the directions contained in notification G.O.(P) No. 08/2017/Trans dated 23.03.2017. Hence the application for variation of permit is **rejected**.

**Item No.18:-** Heard. Transfer of permit is granted subject to,

- 1) Production of NOC from H P Company if applicable and
- 2) Clearance of Government dues if any

**Item No.19:-** Heard. Transfer of permit is granted subject to,

- 1) Production of NOC from H P Company if applicable and
- 2) Clearance of Government dues if any

**Item No.20:-** Heard. Transfer of permit is granted subject to,

- 1) Production of NOC from H P Company if applicable and
- 2) Clearance of Government dues if any

**Item No.21:-** Heard. Transfer of permit is granted subject to,

- 1) Production of NOC from H P Company if applicable and
- 2) Clearance of Government dues if any

**Item No.22:-** Heard. Transfer of permit is granted subject to,

- 1) Production of NOC from H P Company if applicable and
- 2) Clearance of Government dues if any

**Item No.23:-** Heard. Transfer of permit is granted subject to,

- 1) Production of NOC from H P Company if applicable and
- 2) Clearance of Government dues if any

**Item No.24:-** Heard. This is request for condoning the delay for submitting the current records for a transfer of regular permit (death) in respect of SC KL-13/T-3355. Hence Secretary RTA is permitted to accept the current records of the vehicle and endorse the transfer of permit (death).

**Item No.25:-** Absent. Adjourned.

**Item No.26:-** Heard. Transfer of permit is granted subject to,

- 1) Production of NOC from H P Company if applicable and
- 2) Clearance of Government dues if any

**Item No.27:-** Heard. Transfer of permit is granted subject to,

- 1) Production of NOC from H P Company if applicable and
- 2) Clearance of Government dues if any

**Item No.28:-** Heard. Transfer of permit is granted subject to,

- 1) Production of NOC from H P Company if applicable and
- 2) Clearance of Government dues if any

**ItemNo. 29:-** Heard. Transfer of permit is granted subject to,

- 1) Production of NOC from H P Company if applicable and
- 2) Clearance of Government dues if any

**Item No.30:-** Heard. Considered the application for replacement of stage carriage KL-36/1734 having less seating capacity with S/C KL-13/S-6777 having seating capacity more than 25% of the seating capacity of existing vehicle. This authority is of the view that by granting this application, there is increase in revenue to exchequer and public is having more travelling facility, application for replacement is **allowed**, subject to clearance of dues of any and production of NOC from HP Company, if applicable.

**Item No.31:-** Heard. Granted concurrence for renewal of permit subject to the notification G.O(P) No. 08/2017/Tran dated 23.03.2017.

**Item No.32:-** Heard. Granted concurrence for renewal of permit subject to the notification G.O(P) No. 08/2017/Tran dated 23.03.2017.

**Item No.33:-**Heard. RTA Thrissur in its meeting held on 26-09-2016 vide item no 4, granted regular permit to the applicant subject to settlement of timings and production of current records of suitable stage carriage within one month from the date of communication of decision .Decision communicated on 25-01-2017.The grantee on 14-02-2017,submitted a request to allow maximum time to produce the records. On 02.06.2017, he has again requested to allow further 2 months time to produce the current records for issuing the regular permit . The request is against the Sub section (2) of Rule 159 of KMV Rules. Hence the request cannot be considered and grant stands **revoked**.

**Item No. 34.** Heard. RTA Thrissur in its meeting held on 26-09-2016 vide item no 19, granted regular permit to the applicant subject to settlement of timings and production of current records of suitable stage carriage within one month from the date of communication of decision .Decision communicated on 06-02-2017.The grantee on 14-02-2017,submitted a request to allow maximum time to produce the records. On 02.06.2017, he has again requested to allow further 2 months time to produce the current records for issuing the regular permit . The request is against the Sub section (2) of Rule 159 of KMV Rules. Hence the request cannot be considered and grant stands **revoked**.

**Item No.35:-** Heard. The S/c KL 11 S 2229 is having regular permit on the route Pudukkad-Mannuthy via Amballur, Jeruselam, Marathakkara, Para, Kuttanellur, and Nadathara as ordinary service with the strength of regular permit valid till 01.02.2018. The timings in respect to the s/c were notified on

29.08.2016, and several objections were received from en route operators. The objector Sri. A.T. Varghese and ATO, KSRTC had produced a time sheet issued to the s/c KL 08 D 7677 vide Order No.G/6792/2007/R dated 01.02.2008 which was subsequently replaced as KL 11 S 2229 on 13.08.2014. A revised timing was notified on 17.10.2016. An objection was received in this office submitted by the permit holder against the revised timings notified and he also produced judgment in WP© No.30251/16 whereby the respondent was directed to proceed to take a decision after hearing the petitioner and the operator. Hearing notices were sent to the permit holder, but he did not appear for hearing. A statement was submitted by him on 15.12.2016. Meanwhile a timing conference was scheduled to be held on 18.01.2017 which was postponed to 01.02.2017. On 01.02.2017 a written request was received from permit holder stating that he is unable to attend the timing conference due to medical reasons. Timing conference was again postponed to 22.02.2017 with due intimation to the permit holder. Permit holder failed to attend the timing conference. All the en route operators including KSRTC vehemently submitted to issue the timing notified on 17.10.2016, since it is the original timings issued to KL 11 S 2229. Meanwhile a detailed enquiry was conducted by MVI Irinjalakuda, in view of dispute in timings. As per report the MVI reported that genuine timings were those issued vide order no.G/6792/2007/R dated 01.02.2008 and which was identical to the timing notification issued from this office dated 17.10.2016.

Meanwhile the original time sheet of the s/c KL 11 S 2229 was retrieved and as per time sheet the timings was issued

vide No.G/6792/2007/R dated 01.02.2008(same as timings notified on 17.10.2016)

The permit holder failed to appear for a timing conference held on 22.02.2017. Hence a letter was sent to him to appear for hearing or submit a written explanation within 15 days, failing which the timings notified dated 17.10.2016 will be issued to the s/c KL 11 S 2229. The letter was dispatched on 02.03.2017 from this office. As no reply has been received from permit holder, the timings notified on 17.10.2016 was issued to the vehicle KL 11 S 2229, as per proceedings dated 20.03.2017.

Meanwhile the permit holder has produced copy of order in M.P.No.168/2017 in MVARP No.41/2017 dated 20.03.2017 of the Hon'ble STAT Ernakulam. As per the order Hon'ble STAT directed RTA to "hear the petitioner and pass orders pursuant to the impugned letter issued. In case an order is passed against the revision petitioner, the revision petitioner shall be given a reasonable time of three weeks and order shall not be given effect to get the order challenged in case the revision petitioner is aggrieved by the order"

In compliance to the above order, hearing notice was issued to permit holder on 01.04.2017. The permit holder has not appeared for hearing. Since the time limit stipulated by the STAT is over, Secretary RTA, in view of the original time sheet received, decision arrived at the timing conference dated 22.02.2017, as per report of field officer, SRTO, Irinjalakuda and the timing notified on 17.10.2016 approved those set of timings in r/o KL 11 S 2229, to operate on the route Pudukkad-Mannuthy.

The registered owner Mr. Jenson K.A, raised an objection stating that the direction of STAT is to RTA and therefore the action of the Secretary, RTA is illegal and violation of the Court's order.

Perused the matter. Considering the version of Secretary RTA, the original time sheet of S/C KL-11/S-2229 were retrieved and as per the time sheet, timings was issued vide No. G/6792/2007/R dated 01.02.2008 (Same as timing notified on 17.10.2016), this authority is convinced that the notified timing on 17.10.2016 is genuine and proper.

The objectors, Sri.A T Varghese and Assistant Transport Officer, KSRTC has produced a timing schedule issued to stage carriage KL-11/S-2229 (Pre vehicle KL-08/D-7677) vide Order No.G/6792/2007/R dated 01.02.2008 and which is tallied as the retrieved original time sheet issued for the S/CKL-11/S-2229 . Hence this authority is again convinced that the objection filed by the registered owner of the vehicle in question is not sustainable in view of the above findings of the Secretary RTA.

**Item No.36:-**

**A.** Perused the judgment.

**B and C:-** Heard. The permit holder is not having a Stage Carriage in his name to operate service on the permit, the validity of which expired on 05.08.2016.Earlier the permit holder had managed to provide vehicle on the permit to operate service by way of procuring the same on lease agreement. The validity of earlier lease agreement got expired. A fresh properly executed lease agreement had to be produced and shall be noted with extension validity and complying the procedure stipulated under section 51 of MV Act. In the absence of the same,

even though the permit holder had produced a vehicle earlier under lease agreement valid for 11 months from 02.04.2016 and temporary permit under section 87(1) (d) had been issued on the strength of the same; now in the absence of above mentioned non-compliance of procedure in respect of lease agreement and its continuance ,it cannot be considered that there is a vehicle on the permit for the purpose of issue of temporary permit. Therefore the temporary permit application under section 87(1)(d) is not maintainable and is liable to be rejected. On the other hand, one Sri.Noushad, Attuparambath House, Nattika raised an objection regarding the existence of this permit, alleging that this permit is already noted as surrendered in the file. But no substantial recordical evidences such as surrender application, fee receipt and its acceptance ,were not found in the file. In the absence of such evidences the objection raised against the permit, cannot sustain. For the reasons mentioned above, the temporary permit application is **rejected**, even though some procedures for renewal of the regular permit is in progress. On completion of the said process the renewal application will also be disposed, without further delay.

**Item No.37:-** Ratified.

**Supplimentary Item No.1**

Heard. Considered the request of Secretary Chalakudy Municipality to allow a bus stand(North) at Anamala Junction, Chalakudy,in detail. The same was enquired through the Motor Vehicle Inspector, Sub RTOoffice, Chalakkudy and the report perused according to the clause (a) and (b) of sub rule 2 of Rule 344 of Kerala Motor Vehicles Rules. On perusal, the conditions prescribed in the above rule is not seen complied to its full extent. The condition to be

complied as prescribed in the concerned rule are reported by enquiry officer as follows;

- 1) There is no waiting shed for the convenience of passengers, including public comfort stations.
- 2) Weather proof cover constructed for parking stage carriages is not ample enough to cover the entire length of stage carriage.
- 3) Toilet facilities and electricity is not provided.

Hence the final decision in this item is **adjourned** for want of compliance of the conditions prescribed as specified in Kerala Motor Vehicles Rules 1989.

### **Supplementary Item No.2**

Considered the matter and Secretary RTA is entrusted to contact the Civil Station Estate Committee to seek ample space for conducting timing conference.

Shri.Shaji Joseph

Deputy Transport Commissioner

Central Zone I (Member-RTA Thrissur)

Shri.N.Vijayakumar I P S

District Police Chief Thrissur

(Member RTA Thrissur)

Shri.Dr. A. Kowsigan IAS

District Collector Thrissur

(Chairman-RTA Thrissur)

Secretary RTA Thrissur